# Annex IV: Evaluation of Council Draft by Project Team/Advisors/MCC

*Note: the submission of this report is mandatory for each member of the wider Project Team (other than the Project Reporters), for each member of the Advisory Committee (AC) and for the MCC Chair. It is optional for other MCC members.  
The report will be submitted to the relevant ELI bodies, and may be forwarded to the Reporters, in anonymised form, ie the information in the box below will be deleted. If you would like the report to be treated as strictly confidential please contact the ELI Secretariat for options.*

ELI project: ………………………………………………………………………… Council Draft dated ……………………………….

Name of evaluator: ……………………………………………………………………….………………………………………………….

Council Draft submitted to me on ……………………………… by …………….…………………………………………………

I submit this report in my capacity as

Member of the (wider) Project Team Member of the Advisory Committee

Chair of the Members’ Consultative Committee (MCC) MCC Member

and have been advising the Reporters/Project Team since ……………………………………………………………..

I herewith confirm that the information provided by me in this report is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.

……………………… , ……………………………. ……………………………………………………………………………………….

(Place) (Date) (Signature)

**I. Involvement in the Project**

During the period stated above, I/the AC/the MCC have been consulted as follows:   
*(Please provide details as to the form and frequency of consultations. Try to be as specific as possible, eg there is a huge difference whether one regularly receives full drafts or just brief progress report listing the dates of meetings.)*

Upon consultation or otherwise, I/the AC/the MCC have contributed to the project as follows:   
*(Please provide details as to the form, content and frequency of your contributions. Try to be as specific as possible, eg contributions may range from drafting or re-drafting significant parts of the project to a simple email stating ‘I agree.’)*

I feel that my/the AC’s/the MCC’s contributions were dealt with in an appropriate manner.  
 I feel that my/the AC’s/the MCC’s contributions should have been dealt with differently:   
(*Please explain*)

**II. Evaluation of Council Draft**

I have read the Council Draft in its entirety and thoroughly considered all its content and results.

I have read the core results of the Council Draft (eg Executive Summary and blackletter of rules/recommendations) and have thoroughly considered them.   
*(Please specify the parts you have evaluated and give reasons why you have restricted yourself to those parts.)*

I have not read and/or considered the Council Draft.   
*(Please give reasons, eg long and enduring sickness. Note that, by accepting to be a member of the Project Team, Advisor or MCC Chair, you confirm that you are prepared to read and evaluate the project’s outcomes.)*

As far as I have read and considered the Council Draft (see my statement above) *(Please be as specific as possible. If necessary attach a separate document.)*

I fully support what is said and recommend that the relevant ELI bodies approve the outcome.

I support what is said, and recommend that the relevant ELI bodies approve the outcome, but would like to submit the following recommendations:

I support in principle what has been achieved so far, but do not recommend that the relevant ELI bodies approve the outcome as final yet. Rather, it should be remitted to the Reporters with the following requests:

I have serious reservations about what has been done so far, and recommend that the relevant ELI bodies reconsider the whole project or its design, for the following reasons: